

ROUTLEDGE HANDBOOK OF MASCULINITIES, CONFLICT, AND PEACEBUILDING

*Edited by Henri Myrttinen, Chloé Lewis, Heleen Touquet,
Philipp Schulz, Farooq Yousaf, and Elizabeth Laruni*

First published 2025

ISBN: 978-1-032-34176-7 (hbk)
ISBN: 978-1-003-32087-6 (ebk)

3

MASCULINITIES IN CONFLICT AND PEACEBUILDING

A critical mapping of the field

*Henri Myrttinen, Chloé Lewis, Philipp Schulz, Heleen Touquet,
Farooq Yousaf, Elizabeth Laruni, Anisa Abeytia, Esther Brito, John
Sunday Ojo, and Obasesam Okoi*

(CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003320876-4

 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

3

MASCULINITIES IN CONFLICT AND PEACEBUILDING

A critical mapping of the field¹

*Henri Myrntinen, Chloé Lewis, Philipp Schulz, Heleen Touquet,
Farooq Yousaf, Elizabeth Laruni, Anisa Abeytia, Esther Brito,
John Sunday Ojo, and Obasesam Okoi*

Introduction

In her work on men, militarism, and peacebuilding, Mary Moran describes an encounter with a senior United Nations Political Affairs officer in Liberia. Having explained that she was researching male non-combatants in Liberia, the senior UN official expressed amazement that Moran “could find any ‘men who did not fight’” (2010: 268). With this backdrop set, Moran recalls the words of a Liberian civilian man whom she had previously interviewed, who said: “we are truly the forgotten men” (2010: 268).

Well over a decade later, scholarship on civilian and non-combatant men remains sparse. While research on masculinities in conflict and peacebuilding is growing rapidly, it typically centres on masculine, militarised, and combatant violence, overlooking the multiplicity of men’s lived experiences in times of conflict (Schulz et al., 2024; see Campbell & Ní Aoláin, this volume). This handbook complements and expands this dominant focus by exploring other, hidden, or marginalised manifestations of masculinities in conflict and emergency settings. The task at hand, then, is to “look into the cracks” (Hamber, 2016) between diverse manifestations of masculinities rather than to narrow the discussion to violent, militarised, and violated masculinities only.

Against this backdrop, here, we review and situate the contributions of this handbook within existing literature and debates on gender, peace, and security. Men – in particular already powerful men and men who have gained ‘gendered conflict capital’ (Balbon, 2023) – are central players in conflict-affected societies and peacebuilding processes, often to the point of crowding out women and persons of diverse SOGIESC. Yet when ‘gender’ is raised in contexts of peace and security, it is (still) often used as a “synonym for women” (Carver, 1995). This conflation means that while men are typically hyper-visible in conflict and peacebuilding spaces, *masculinities* are, paradoxically, rendered invisible (Puechguirbal, 2010: 175). Omitting to examine men’s gendered selves, social norms around masculinities, and patriarchal societal structures has numerous implications. First, it obscures the impacts of armed conflict on men and boys and how these, in turn, affect the women and girls in their lives. Second, it conceals how norms around masculinity and gender more broadly shape how peace is built in the aftermath of conflict (Johnston, 2023). Such ‘peace’ is often a patriarchal and hierarchical one, in which women’s concerns and needs as well as those

of diverse SOGIESC are seen as secondary at best, while less powerful, non-combatant men are also sidelined. This collection redresses this gap.

Focusing on civilian men, we are mindful of not reinforcing false dichotomies of ‘bad, violent militarised men’ versus ‘good, progressive, and peaceful civilian men,’ and to not forget that even more marginalised men have, in many societies, certain privileges, entitlements, and power inaccessible to most women and persons of diverse SOGIESC (Henry, 2017). The handbook does not set out to idealise, romanticise, or purify civilian men as inherently good, progressive, and peaceful. As Schulz et al. note,

rather than being always neatly separated spheres, empirical realities in times of violence, conflict and its wake often paint a more ambiguous and complex picture, showing how so-called civilian men may often take on, perform or embody traits of militarised masculinities as well, or how the status or how the status of civilian vis-à-vis soldier/warrior is often much more fluid.

(2024: 22)

Civilian men are often responsible for perpetrating and perpetuating violence, including sexual and gender-based violence against women, other men, and persons with diverse SOGIESC along a continuum of violence. Similarly, many civilian men maintain and cement militarism and the militarisation of masculinities, including “as politicians and those who control the economic levers of warfare (e.g. investors in the arms industry), or at a different level, the civilian fathers (and mothers, of course) who encourage their sons to join the military or their daughters to enact traditional femininities” (Schulz et al., 2024: 22). As such, the chapters in this volume direct the focus on hidden, marginalised, and civilian masculinities while paying careful and critical attention to these complex and intersecting dynamics.

Peace, like conflict, is all too often a masculinised process, in which the ‘men who understand war’ are given preferential access to negotiating peace and setting the parameters for the ‘post-conflict order.’ In societies largely at peace, men with military backgrounds are assumed to be more knowledgeable about issues of security than others, while non-combatant men in positions of societal power can leverage their status to manage conflicts (Ashe, 2009; Krause, 2019, Wright, 2020; Kunz et al., 2018; Rigual et al., 2022; Yousaf, 2021). Women and openly gender-diverse persons, on the other hand, even if they lived through a conflict or were combatants, are often required to justify why their experiences and insights matter or why they should participate in negotiations, though some women are able to also leverage relative positions of power (Rigual et al., 2022). Yet not all men are afforded access to the gilded corridors of power or smoky back rooms in which the so-called post-conflict order is mapped out, nor do all men benefit equally from this order. As within other societal processes, some men – because of class-, age-, ethnic or other traits – are better able to access the resultant spoils better than others.

It is well established that situations of armed conflict interact with, strain, reinforce, and reconfigure gender norms and relations in conflict-affected societies (El-Bushra, 2012). Rigid but often increasingly unattainable norms and expectations of what it means to be ‘a real man’ shape the lives of civilian and ex-combatant men alike. Such rigid norms can exacerbate the impacts of trauma, disability, and displacement, though still keeping men’s patriarchal privileges intact (Chioyenda, 2015; El-Bushra & Gardner, 2016; Hollander, 2014; Kabachnik et al., 2012; Naujoks & Thandar Ko, 2018, see also Riley & Vale, this volume). Yet the ‘post-conflict’ moment can also be a time for change, especially through efforts of civil-society organisations, creating spaces for women’s participation and empowerment, as well as to promote less domineering, less violent,

and more equal masculinities (Schroer-Hippel, 2017; Porter, 2013; Peacock & Barker, 2014; Vess et al., 2013) and greater acceptance of men of diverse sexual orientations and gender identities and expressions (Daigle & Myrntinen, 2018). These processes of change are rarely linear or uncontested (Gibbs et al., 2015; Gibbs et al., 2020; El-Bushra, 2012; Pierotti et al., 2018), and emerging masculinities may seek to re-establish new forms of patriarchy (Myrntinen, 2019), or older patriarchal structures and practices are updated and reinforced (Johnston, 2023; Mackenzie & Foster, 2017). On the whole, research on the gendered mechanics and dynamics of peacebuilding processes has rarely examined how these are shaped by patriarchy, rather treating patriarchy and associated values as a “black box” (Balbon, 2023: 5). It is our hope that this volume goes some way to lifting the lid off this black box.

Centering civilian masculinities, de-centering militarised masculinities

Our aim is to bring civilian masculinities to the fore within a field that has predominantly centered (on) militarised men and masculinities. The dominance of militarised masculinities in conflict settings impact and shape the lives of civilian men to such an extent that the line between ‘militarised’ and ‘civilian’ masculinities can be blurred. This is especially evident in the case of non-state actors such as private security/military companies (Chisholm, 2023; Higate, 2012; Mynster Christensen, 2016), local self-defence or political militias (Krause, 2019; Tapscott, 2018), or groups designated as being violent extremists (Duriesmith & Ismail, 2019). A further group of men who operate across civilian and ‘military’ lines are local interpreters working alongside Global North military forces (de Jong and Shajjan, this volume).

During and in the wake of armed conflict, military and militarised masculinities are often dominant through “gendered conflict capital” and the “sticky gender norms” they establish (Balbon, 2023; Ahmed, 2014; Cheng, 2018). In times of armed conflict, there is often immense pressure on men to take up arms, and both state and non-state armed groups actively mobilise notions of warrior and protector masculinities (Myrntinen et al., 2017). In some contexts, these military or militarised masculinities may become hegemonic (Connell, 1995) at the national (e.g. Baker, 2019; Niner, 2020), sub-national (Abdulah, 2018), or community-level (Duriesmith & Ismail, 2019). In these cases, certain military or militarised masculinities become ‘the most celebrated way of being a man’ and legitimise the current patriarchal structure.

However, as some of the chapters in this collection demonstrate, not all military or militarised masculinities are equally celebrated. In certain contexts, these martial ways of being a man and associations with combatant identities may instead be reviled, ostracised, or looked down upon, or their privileges may dissipate with time (Balbon, 2023; Friðriksdóttir, 2018b; Haugbolle, 2012; McMullen et al. 2024; Menzel 2011).

Decolonising masculinities

Much of the work on military masculinities has focused on Global North militaries, often in the context of peacekeeping and so-called stabilisation missions (e.g. Dyvik, 2016; Mäki-Rahkola & Myrntinen, 2013; Welland, 2015; Whitworth, 2004). This is reflective of a broader trend in scholarship on men and masculinities, where many of the concepts and terminologies originate from and in the Global North, and analyses are often originally based on the experiences of (middle-class, white, college-educated) men in the Anglo-Saxon world. Undoing these tendencies requires a greater emphasis on decolonial approaches to masculinities, conflict, and peacebuilding – these are in urgent need of further exploration and development.

There are some important exceptions to this Global North focus and domination, such as research on masculinities in the state security forces of the Democratic Republic of Congo (Eriksson Baaz & Stern, 2018), Liberia (Quest, 2020), Pakistan (Rashid, 2021), Russia (Eichler, 2012), and Rwanda (Duriesmith & Holmes, 2019). The work on militarised masculinities in the Global South has often had a greater focus on men in non-state armed or resistance groups and their post-war experiences in Africa (e.g. Duriesmith, 2017; Parpart, 2015; Vigh, 2006; Xaba, 2001), Asia and the Middle East (e.g. Agarwal, 2022; Albuero, 2011; Bannerjee, 2006; de Silva, 2014; Niner, 2020; Parashar, 2018; Riley, 2019), and Latin America (e.g. Dietrich Ortega, 2012; Theidon, 2009; Thylin, 2018). Similarly, the research on gang masculinities typically centres on countries with protracted, multi-faceted conflicts and/or criminal and organised violence or those which are ‘officially’ post-conflict following a peace accord but whose daily lives are not defined by peace (e.g. Baird, 2012; Dinnen & Thompson, 2009; Harland & McCready, 2015; Salo, 2006; Ward, 2000; see also Baird and Kelly in this volume). This work does not always apply explicitly to critical studies on men and masculinities (CSMM) or feminist approaches.

The accent on armed actors and violent masculinities in the Global South epitomises limited and limiting Western understandings of masculinities and conflict in the Global South, where racialised men are all too easily associated with assumptions of brutality and danger (Lewis, 2022). Many concepts and ideas from masculinities studies cannot straightforwardly be applied and transferred to diverse contexts across the Global South (Beasley, 2008; Connell, 1998; Connell & Messerschmidt, 2005). Kopano Ratele (2013), for example, argues that hegemonic masculinity is not applicable to the African context, and African masculinities can be thought of as hegemonic and subordinate at the same time. This is reflective of dominant approaches to peacebuilding and violence prevention, which too often centre Western and Global North concepts (Boonzaier et al., 2020; Te Maihāroa et al., 2022).

A decolonial approach to masculinities and peacebuilding entails a vitally important consideration of the interconnections between the historical patterns and the impact of colonialism on the gender order and masculinities more specifically (Ratele, 2021). The contemporary system of peacebuilding and its policy propositions are often still structured by colonial processes, which became embedded in its operations and objectives.

These colonial processes specifically encoded violent reconstructions of gender, sex, reproduction, sexuality, and kin relations.² Masculinities were created, and others were devastated by the colonial authorities – resulting in the emergence of what we can term ‘colonised masculinities’. Colonised masculinities are articulated as relations of power constructed through violent colonial oppressions and performances of gendered domination over racialised subjects, seeking to redefine the social order. Sinha (1995: 447) defines this reconstruction process as the “feminisation of the colonised male in relation to the colonising male.” The example leveraged situates the “manly Englishman” constituted both in relation and opposition to the “effeminate Bengali” – that is, the articulation of constructed framings of masculinity creating hierarchies of adherence under which colonised subjects are held as lesser men, akin to women. These constructions of a ‘feminised’ colonised subject are not incompatible with the simultaneous framing of these men as uncontrolled, hypersexual, and violent, according to Fanon (1967). Of course, these new gender orders did not imply a simple imposition of European patterns of patriarchy, nor were pre-colonial societies free from patriarchy.

To fully understand and aim at undoing the impact of these processes on masculinities and the gender order, de- and anti-colonial approaches to peacebuilding are needed rather than merely a shift towards the ‘local’ (Johnston, 2023). Decolonial peacebuilding scholars have introduced a new framework, building upon the theoretical waves of postcolonialism and anchored in localised

indigenous epistemologies – most notably grounded in understandings of arbitration and restorative justice as pathways to conflict resolution and peace (Lundy et al., 2022). These help to deconstruct colonial hierarchies and promote new knowledges and practices in peacebuilding. To this end, we situate the decolonial process as requiring both a cognitive and structural shift towards one in which indigenous solutions, agency, and voices are seen as the key sites of conflict transformation. This approach to peacebuilding is one that creates dynamics and actions that allow those communities harmed by colonial violence, institutions, and ideologies to act as agents of change while demanding that those who benefited from oppression take active measures to address the harms imposed by colonial legacies in the past and present. These de- and anti-colonial approaches also need to remain conscious that local constructions of masculinity were often also patriarchal in nature (Bah & Barasa, 2023; Johnston, 2023; Kunz et al., 2018). As Boege (2011: 447) reminds us, “specific social contexts and the gendered particularities of processes of participation and inclusion (or exclusion) need careful examination in each single case.”

The challenges of living up to gender norms

The growing literature on men and masculinities in conflict, humanitarian, and displacement settings sheds light on the challenges men face to live up to hegemonic expectations of masculinity, leading to ‘thwarted,’ ‘collapsing,’ or ‘traumatic’ masculinities and various coping mechanisms to reassert their sense of manhood (e.g. Achilli, 2015; Barbelet & Wake, 2017; de Alwis, 2021; Jaji, 2009; Kabachnik et al., 2012; Slegh et al., 2014; Szczepanikova, 2005; Turner, 1999; Vitale & Ryde, 2016). The themes raised here are echoed in the research on masculinities affected by long-term occupation and protracted conflict and its aftermath (e.g. Ashe & Harland, 2014; Chiovenda, 2015; Dery et al., 2022; El-Bushra & Gardner, 2016; Enria, 2016; Gokani et al., 2015; Hollander, 2014; see also Bagaporo, this volume).

Men’s struggles highlighted in these studies often relate to the difficulties of living up to expectations of being the economic provider for their households as well as the impacts of experiencing curtailed agency and diminished social status. Men may also expect to continue pre-crisis personal and societal privileges during and after a crisis and struggle with any restrictions placed on these. These may include men’s expectations to be dominant, of having mobility and agency, and being in control (including over female family members); of being entitled to privileging their own leisure time and socialising with other men over care or household work, as men’s work is seen as being of more value; and of being the final decision-maker. The literature underscores many men’s difficulties with accepting their changed life circumstances, their sense of loss and failure, as well as negative coping mechanisms, including mental health issues such as depression, suicidality, substance abuse, and violent behaviour (Ezard, 2014; Kabachnik et al., 2012; Kizza et al., 2012; Lehrer, 2009; Slegh et al., 2014; see also Masta & Garasu, this volume). The inability to live up to such ideals of manhood is often identified as a major stressor. Un- or underemployment and lack of social standing – what Jaji (2009: 184) calls a feeling of “economic ‘impotence’” – are common in humanitarian and displacement settings and can undermine men’s sense of identity and sense of self-worth (Colic-Peisker & Tilbury, 2007; Dolan, 2003; Dominelli, 2020). Despite the widespread and consistent – but scattered – qualitative evidence of displacement-affected men’s poor mental health, Affleck et al. (2018) note an under-representation of men in gendered studies of displaced persons’ mental health. The literature on men in protracted conflict emphasises the connections between mental health effects and masculinities norms. In particular, this work underscores that expectations of men to be ‘unemotional’ compound negative impacts of crises, as men often do not seek help

or constructively engage with their emotions, but rather resort to negative, masculine-coded coping mechanisms, including substance abuse (Slegh et al., 2014).

Studies on the impacts of displacement on masculinities post-resettlement, as well as upon men's return from displacement, similarly draw attention to men's challenges to make a living and be a provider in collapsed economies, with some men taking on more gender-equitable ways of being a man (Grabska & Fanjoy, 2015; Levine et al., 2019; Matsuoka & Sorensen, 1999).

The so-called 2015 'refugee crisis' in Europe in particular has led to numerous studies on European host populations' negative views as well as racialised (and often Islamophobic) media stereotyping of the men as security threats, as being hyper-sexualised, as indolent, criminal, and culturally 'backward' (Allsopp, 2017; Jaji, 2021; Olivius, 2016; Wojnicka & Pustułka, 2019). As Dolan (2003), Szczepanikova (2005), and Turner (2020) note, refugee men may be simultaneously 'securitised' as threats yet also 'infantilised' by humanitarian actors, especially in camp settings, while their needs and vulnerabilities remain overlooked.

Highlighting men's vulnerabilities

Different sets of literature have explored the interlinkages between and incompatibilities of vulnerabilities and masculinities (Kreft & Agerberg, 2024). The 'refugee crisis,' for example, led to an increased examination of men's and boys' vulnerabilities, including labour exploitation, survival sex, and violence encountered along migratory routes – but also more positively homosocial solidarity and positive coping mechanisms, such as mutual caring and informal support systems (Bozok & Bozok, 2019; Howe et al., 2018; Ingvars, 2019; Krystalli et al., 2018; Turner, 2020; see also, Linthout et al. and Paul, this volume). At its core, this work is underpinned by the socially constructed discrepancies between ideals of masculinities, portraying and expecting strength on the one hand and experiences of vulnerability on the other (Connell, 1998; Carpenter, 2006).

An area of recent, rapid growth in research and policy interest relative to men's vulnerabilities in conflict pertains to conflict-related sexual violence against men and boys (SVAMB). Although SVAMB has been documented to a greater extent in civilian settings – including schools, sports clubs, religious institutions, families, prisons, and so on – its presence in conflict zones and in militaries was long ignored or sidelined (e.g. Carpenter, 2006; Dolan, 2010; Drumond, 2012; Leiby, 2009; Lewis, 2014; Sivakumaran, 2007). This has changed dramatically in recent years, due in part to the engaged efforts of academics and civil society activists (Belkin, 2012; Féron, 2018; Schulz, 2020; Touquet et al., 2021; Zalewski et al., 2018).

This growing body of research has documented the occurrence of sexual violence against men and boys in more than 25 armed conflict settings, establishing that it occurs much more frequently than is commonly assumed (Dolan, 2018; Schulz, 2020, see also Touquet & Schulz, this volume). A dominant focus across these texts is an examination of the intersecting physical, psycho-social, and physiological injuries and the gendered harms of this type of violence on male survivors. Frequently, these effects and their impact on survivors' masculinities are framed as forms of 'emasculatation' by way of 'feminisation' and 'homosexualisation' (Auchter, 2017; Sivakumaran, 2007; for a critical reading of these terminologies, see Eichert, 2019; Schulz, 2018). This dominant focus on survivors' vulnerabilities and violations is both part of and facilitates the violation-centric view in much of the literature on and engagement with masculinities in conflict and peacebuilding contexts more broadly. More recently, emerging work has begun to move away from this singular focus on vulnerabilities, suffering, and harm to also explore other elements of male sexual violence survivors' experiences, including their activism (Schulz & Ngomokwe, 2021), justice-seeking (Schulz, 2019), or agency (Bitenga Alexandre, 2024; Touquet & Schulz, 2020). More research is yet to

be done, though, on understanding the dynamics and causes of SVAMB from the perspectives of perpetrators – which is crucial for understanding why this type of violence occurs yet which thus far remains entirely absent from existing scholarship.

Another aspect of masculine vulnerability is that of disability: Shuttleworth et al. (2012: 174) note the “dilemma of disabled masculinity,” which arises from disability being “associated with being dependent and helpless, whereas masculinity is associated with being powerful and autonomous” (see also Sünbuloğlu, this volume). Overall, there has been a striking lack of engagement in the literature with masculinities and disabilities in conflict-affected settings (Fiske & Giotis, 2021; Sherry, 2016). A notable exception in this respect is a nuanced study by Muhanna-Matar (2020) with Syrian refugee men with disabilities and chronic diseases, as well as work by Lawn et al. (2022) on impacts of disabilities on masculinities of Kachin internally displaced men in Myanmar. There are thus clear parallels with the above-mentioned findings on ‘traumatic’ and ‘thwarted’ masculinities, and Lawn et al. (2022) highlight how internally displaced men with disabilities often feel doubly stigmatised. However, as they also highlight, some men reject the ‘disability’ label, pointing to how they, compared to worse-off men, are still able to fulfill some physical activities. Similarly, Muhanna-Matar (2020) highlights how her respondents constructed their own masculinities as being more successful than those of others.

From change to reasserting patriarchal power

Some men in conflict-affected settings change their outlooks on notions of masculinity and gender equality more broadly and embrace different roles and ways of being a man in the face of crisis. This may include, for instance, showing more emotions, participating in domestic chores, and increased acceptance of women’s social and economic participation. Yet others actively engage in work on peacebuilding, as demonstrated in Friðriksdóttir’s (2018a) study on ex-combatants as peace activists in Burundi and Schroer-Hippel’s (2017) study on the intersections of peace activism and work on transforming masculinities in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, and Serbia. Others have pointed out, however, that men who diverge from dominant gender expectations also face opposition and ridicule from peers and family members and/or having to ‘hide’ their more gender-equitable behaviour (Gressman, 2016; Hollander, 2014; Ingvars, 2019; Lokot, 2018; Szczepanikova, 2005).

A further line of research in the literature examines how displaced men may compare their own masculinity to that of other men, often positively contrasting their own ways of coping with those deemed to have ‘failed’ as men (e.g. Correa-Velez et al., 2013; Grabska & Fanjoy, 2015; Jaji, 2009; Jensen, 2008; Kleist, 2010; Suerbaum, 2018, 2021). This distinction vis-à-vis the ‘abject other’ of the ‘failed’ refugee man is often construed as being linked to one’s ethnicity or nationality, class background, or industriousness. Some middle-class or educated refugee men, however, may refuse to take up work seen as being below their status, while other men may feel that taking on feminised work would bring shame upon themselves and their communities (Franz, 2003; Holloway et al., 2019; Jaji, 2009; Jensen, 2008).

An undercurrent in much of the literature has been that of men’s attempts to re-assert an imagined pre-conflict patriarchal ‘golden age’ or feelings of ‘masculinity nostalgia’ (e.g. El-Bushra et al., 2014; Mackenzie & Foster, 2017). While some men will change their gendered expectations and performances or see crisis as an opportunity to come out into the open with previously-held more equitable norms, others will seek to re-assert masculine dominance. In part, this re-assertion occurs through violence, including in the domestic sphere. Studies on the ‘violences of men’ – borrowing from Hearn (1998) – and how to change them, mainly centre on different forms of gender-based violence (GBV) and their links to notions of masculinity (e.g. Barker, 2005; Dworkin et al., 2015;

Gibbs et al., 2020; Ratele, 2015). Particular note should be made here of the series of International Men and Gender Equality Survey (IMAGES) studies on men's attitudes to violence in conflict-affected settings, conducted by the NGO Equimundo (previously Promundo) with a range of partners. Some of the research also goes beyond a narrower focus on masculinities and GBV and examines attempts to re-imagine masculinities and their relationship to violence more broadly in peacebuilding processes and in conflict-affected societies (Baird, 2012; Esuruku, 2011; Myrntinen et al., 2014; Schroer-Hippel, 2017; Wright, 2014). Post-genocide Rwanda stands out as a particular case in this respect, with the government and civil-society organisations actively seeking to re-imagine and create 'the new Rwandan man' (Løvgren & Turner, 2019).

Situations of displacement can also lead to further entrenching the position of already-powerful men, for example through camp management committees, or create possibilities for previously less-advantaged men to increase their social capital. This includes men participating in gender-equality programming by humanitarian actors, which can, however, end up benefiting men who are subsequently able to assert themselves as a new generation of leaders rather than empowering women (Turner, 1999; Dietrich et al., 2020; Grabska, 2011).

Conclusion

As this overview shows, there is a growing and diversifying literature on masculinities in settings of conflict and displacement, paying attention to different manifestations of masculinities and various facets of men's gendered lived realities. Dominant themes within these intersecting debates and discussion include violence, militarism, and militarisation, reflected in a relatively large body of work on militarised and militaristic masculinities and their linkages with conflict, violence and war. Other studies have focused on the effects of war, violence and conflict on masculinities constructions – such as, for instance, in the context of forced migration and displacement or through sexual and gender-based violence against men and boys.

Overall, much of this work on masculinities in the context of conflict and peacebuilding focuses on the war, violence, and conflict aspect and less so on the peace and peacebuilding component despite important and noteworthy exceptions (Esuruku, 2011; Hamber, 2016; Duncanson, 2013). At the same time, and as identified in the chapter, many of the approaches and perspectives throughout this work originate from the Global North and are based on Western ideals or understandings – mirroring colonial dimensions of research on these issues more broadly. As such, further decolonial and anti-colonial thought and activism are required to more fully understand the gendered dynamics of conflict and peace. Likewise, many of these debates in the literature that engage with masculinities in the context of peace, conflict, and security are based on hetero-normative frameworks and assumptions, similarly requiring growing efforts of queering conflict research more broadly (Hagen et al., 2024) and of queering an engagement with masculinities in these settings specifically (Serrano-Amaya, 2024).

Throughout most of these debates, the structural, systemic, and relational dimensions of masculinities and how they play a part in and shape broader societal processes and dynamics – from capitalism, to hetero-normativity, or conservatism – require more critical attention. For this, a relational analysis of masculinities, conflict, and peacebuilding is vital to recognise that all masculinities influence one another (Morrell, 2001) and operate in complex inter-related ways (Schulz et al., 2024). Lastly, then, and as we have shown throughout this chapter and throughout the volume at large, much of the existing engagement with masculinities occurs through a violation-centric lens, focused either on the violences perpetrated by men or violations against men. What remains only insufficiently developed in these debates, however, is an engagement with civilian masculinities – the particular gap that this handbook primarily addresses.

Notes

- 1 The writing of this chapter was supported by the UKRI GCRF Gender, Justice and Security Hub (AH/S004025/1).
- 2 The construction and maintenance of colonial empires was anchored upon a structural division: between the colonisers and the colonised. Societies were re-stratified and re-organized. The bodies of local populations were subjected to violence and coerced into forced labour, and their land was seized. In parallel, colonialism invested in the configuration, creation, and design of mechanisms to concentrate wealth and power in the hands of the colonisers, forming new power structures and institutions to elevate the new colonial state. Some of these resources would later be claimed by colonised elites in the post-colonial era, further exacerbating systemic class divisions. Ultimately, colonial processes disrupted indigenous relations at almost every level.

References

- Abdulah, S. (2018). Militarised Masculinity and the Rise of a New Local Political Elite in Post Conflict Aceh. Ph.D. thesis. Murdoch University.
- Achilli, L. (2015). Becoming a Man in Al-Wihdat: Masculine Performances in a Palestinian Refugee Camp in Jordan. *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 47(2): pp. 263–280.
- Affleck, W., Selvadurai, A. & Sikora, L. (2018). Underrepresentation of Men in Gender-Based Humanitarian and Refugee Trauma Research: A Scoping Review. *Intervention, Journal of Mental Health and Psychosocial Support in Conflict-Affected Areas* 16(1): pp. 22–30.
- Agarwal, A. (2022). *Contesting Masculinities and Women's Agency in Kashmir*. London: Rowman & Littlefield
- Ahmed, I. (2014). *Does Gender Shape the War System and Vice Versa?* E-International Relations. <https://www.e-ir.info/2014/11/16/does-gender-shape-the-war-system-and-vice-versa/> (Accessed 15th November 2024)
- Alburo, K. K. Z. (2011). Brothers, Lovers, and Revolution: Negotiating Military Masculinity and Homosexual Identity in a Revolutionary Movement in the Philippines. *Asia-Pacific Social Science Review* (11)2: pp. 27–42.
- Allsopp, J. (2017). Agent, Victim, Soldier, Son: Intersecting Masculinities in the European “Refugee Crisis”. In: Freedman, J., Kivilecim, Z. & Özgür Baklacioglu, N. (eds.): *A Gendered Approach to the Syrian Refugee Crisis*. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 155–174.
- Anand, D. (2007). Anxious Sexualities: Masculinity, Nationalism and Violence. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 9(2): pp. 257–269.
- Ashe, F. (2009). From Paramilitaries to Peacemakers: The Gender Dynamics of Community-Based Restorative Justice in Northern Ireland. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations* 11(2): pp. 298–314.
- Ashe, F. & Harland, K. (2014). Troubling Masculinities: Changing Patterns of Violent Masculinities in a Society Emerging from Political Conflict. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 37(9): pp. 747–762.
- Auchter, J. (2017). Forced Male Circumcision: Gender-Based Violence in Kenya. *International Affairs* 93(6): pp. 1339–1356.
- Bah, A. B. & Barasa, M. N. (2023). Indigenous Knowledge and the Social Construction of Patriarchy: The Case of the Bukusu of Kenya. *Critical Sociology* 49(2): pp. 217–232.
- Baird, A. (2012). Negotiating Pathways to Manhood: Rejecting Gangs and Violence in Medellín’s Periphery. *Journal of Conflictology* 3(1): pp. 30–41.
- Baker, C. (2019). Veteran Masculinities and Audiovisual Popular Music in Post-Conflict Croatia: A Feminist Aesthetic Approach to the Contested Everyday Peace. *Peacebuilding* 7(2): pp. 226–242.
- Balbon, N. (2023). “Why Do People Hate You, Mommy?” Militarized Masculinities and Women in Postwar Kosovar Politics. *Journal of Women, Politics & Policy*: pp. 1–20.
- Bannerjee, S. (2006). Armed Masculinity, Hindu Nationalism and Female Political Representation in India. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 8(1): pp. 62–83.
- Barbelet, V. & Wake, C. (2017). *Livelihoods in Displacement: From Refugee Perspectives to Aid Agency Response*. London: ODI.
- Barker, G. (2005). *Dying to Be Men: Youth, Masculinity and Social Exclusion*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Beasley, C. (2008). Rethinking Hegemonic Masculinity in a Globalizing World. *Men and Masculinities* 11(1): pp. 86–103.

- Belkin, A. (2012). *Bring Me Men: Military Masculinity and the Benign Façade of American Empire, 1898–2001*. London: Hurst & Co Publishers.
- Bitenga Alexandre, A. (2024). Individual Agency and Social Support in Healing from Conflict-Related Sexual Violence: A Case History from Eastern DRC. *Global Public Health* 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2024.2308717>
- Boege, V. (2011). Potential and Limits of Traditional Approaches in Peacebuilding. *Berghof Handbook for Conflict Transformation*. <https://berghof-foundation.org/library/potential-and-limits-of-traditional-approaches-in-peacebuilding>
- Boonzaier, F., Huysamen, M. & van Niekerk, T. (2020). Men from the South: Feminist, Decolonial and Intersectional Perspectives on Men, Masculinities and Intimate Partner Violence. In: Gottzen, L., Bjornholt, M. & Boonzaier, F. (eds.): *Men, Masculinities and Intimate Partner Violence*. London: Routledge, pp. 52–65.
- Bozok, M. & Bozok, N. (2019). The Household, the Street and the Labour Market: Masculinities and Homosocial Solidarity Networks of Afghan Migrant Boys in a Squatter Neighbourhood in Istanbul. *International Journal for Masculinity Studies* 14(2): pp. 96–111.
- Campbell, S. (2020). *Civilian Masculinities and Conflict: An Examination of Northern Ireland and the Occupied Palestinian Territories*. Ph.D. thesis. Ulster University.
- Carpenter, R. C. (2006). Recognizing Gender-Based Violence Against Civilian Men and Boys in Conflict Situations. *Security Dialogue* 37(1): pp. 83–103.
- Carver, T. (1995). *Gender Is Not a Synonym for Women*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Cheng, S. (2018). Our National Psychosis: Guns, Terror, and Hegemonic Masculinity. *Scholarly Works* 53: p. 1137.
- Chioyenda, A. (2015). *Crafting Masculine Selves: Culture, War and Psychodynamics Among Afghan Pash-tuns*. Ph.D. thesis. Boston University.
- Chisholm, A. (2023). *The Gendered and Colonial Lives of Gurkhas in Private Security: From Military to Market*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Colic-Peisker, V. & Tilbury, F. (2007). Integration into the Australian Labor Market: The Experience of Three ‘Visibly Different’ Groups of Recently Arrived Refugees. *International Migration* 45: pp. 60–83.
- Connell, R. W. (1995). *Masculinities*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Connell, R. W. (1998). Masculinities & Globalization. *Men and Masculinities* 1(1): pp. 3–23.
- Connell, R. W. & Messerschmidt, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic Masculinity: Rethinking the Concept. *Gender & Society* 19(6): pp. 829–859.
- Correa-Velez, I., Spaaji, R. & Upham, S. (2013). ‘We Are Not Here to Claim Better Services Than Any Other’: Social Exclusion Among Men from Refugee Backgrounds in Urban and Regional Australia. *Journal of Refugee Studies* 26: pp. 163–186.
- Daigle, M. & Myrntinen, H. (2018). Bringing Diverse Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (SOGI) into Peacebuilding Policy and Practice. *Gender & Development* 26(1): pp. 103–120.
- de Alwis, M. (2021). ‘I’m a Refugee in My Own Country’! Gendering Internal Displacement and Trauma. In: Mora, C. & Piper, N. (eds): *The Palgrave Handbook of Gender and Migration*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, Ch. 28, pp. 457–472.
- De Silva, J. (2014). Valour, Violence and the Ethics of Struggle: Constructing Militant Masculinities in Sri Lanka. *South Asian History and Culture* 5(4): pp. 438–456.
- Dery, I., Baataar, C. & Khan, A. R. (2022). Everyday Peacebuilding among Ghanaian Men: Ambiguities, Resistances and Possibilities. *Journal of the British Academy* 10(1): pp. 35–53.
- Dietrich, L., Skakun, Z., Cabezas Pino, A. & Fernanda Novelo Duarte, M. (2020). ‘Who Holds the Microphone?’ – Crisis-Affected Women’s Voices on Gender Transformative Changes in Humanitarian Settings: Experiences from Bangladesh, Colombia, Jordan and Uganda. New York: UN Women.
- Dietrich Ortega, L. (2012). Looking Beyond Violent Militarized Masculinities: Guerrilla Gender Regimes in Latin America. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 14(4): pp. 489–507.
- Dinnen, S. & Thompson, E. (2009). State, Society and the Gender of Gun Culture in Papua New Guinea. In: Farr, V., Myrntinen, H. & Schnabel, A. (eds.): *Sexed Pistols – Gender Perspectives on Small Arms and Light Weapons*. Tokyo: United Nations University Press.
- Dolan, C. (2003). Collapsing Masculinities and Weak States – A Case Study of Northern Uganda. In: Cleaver, F. (ed.): *Masculinity Matters: Men, Masculinities and Gender Relations in Development*. London: Zed Books.
- Dolan, C. (2010). ‘War Is Not Yet Over’: Community Perceptions of Sexual Violence and Its Underpinnings in Eastern DRC. London: International Alert.

- Dolan, C. (2018). 'Only a fool . . .': Why Men Don't Disclose Conflict-Related Sexual Violence in an Age of Global Media. In: Zalewski, M., Drumond, P., Prugl, E. & Stern, M. (eds.): *Sexual Violence Against Men in Global Politics*, Ch. 9, pp. 167–183. London and New York: Routledge.
- Dominelli, L. (2020). Rethinking Masculinity in Disaster Situations: Men's Reflections of the 2004 Tsunami in Southern Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 48: p. 101594.
- Drumond, P. (2012). Invisible Males: A Critical Assessment of UN Gender Mainstreaming Policies in the Congolese Genocide. In: Jones, A. (ed.): *New Directions in Genocide Research*. London and New York: Routledge, pp. 96–113.
- Duncanson, C. (2013). *Forces for Good? Military Masculinities and Peacebuilding in Afghanistan and Iraq*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Duriesmith, D. (2017). *Masculinity and New War: The Gendered Dynamics of Contemporary Armed Conflict*. London: Routledge.
- Duriesmith, D. & Holmes, G. (2019). The Masculine Logic of DDR and SSR in the Rwandan Defence Force. *Security Dialogue* (50)4: pp. 361–379.
- Duriesmith, D. & Ismail, H. N. (2019). Militarized Masculinities Beyond Methodological Nationalism: Charting the Multiple Masculinities of an Indonesian Jihadi. *International Theory* 11: pp. 139–159.
- Dworkin, S. L., Fleming, P. J. & Colvin, C. J. (2015). The Promises and Limitations of Gender-Transformative Health Programming with Men: Critical Reflections from the Field. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 17(2): pp. 128–143.
- Dyvik, S. (2016). "Valhalla Rising": Gender, Embodiment and Experience in Military Memoirs. *Security Dialogue* 47(2): pp. 133–150.
- Eichert, D. (2019). "Homosexualization" Revisited: An Audience-Focused Theorization of Wartime Male Sexual Violence. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 21(3): pp. 409–433.
- Eichler, M. (2012). *Militarizing Men: Gender, Conscriptation, and War in Post-Soviet Russia*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- El-Bushra, J. (2012). *Gender in Peacebuilding: Taking Stock*. London: International Alert.
- El-Bushra, J. & Gardner, J. (2016). The Impact of War on Somali Men: Feminist Analysis of Masculinities and Gender Relations in a Fragile Context. *Gender & Development* 24(3): pp. 443–445.
- El-Bushra, J., Myrntinen, H. & Naujoks, J. (2014). *Renegotiating the 'Ideal' Society – Gender Relations in the Wake of Conflict and Displacement in Uganda*. London: International Alert.
- Enria, L. (2016). I Must Stand Like a Man: Masculinity in Crisis in Post-War Sierra Leone. In: Cornwall, A., Lindisfarne, N. & Karioris, F. (eds.): *Masculinities Under Neoliberalism*. London: Zed Books.
- Eriksson Baaz, M. & Stern, M. (2018). Knowing Masculinities in Armed Conflict? Reflections from Research in the Democratic Republic of Congo. In: Ní Aoláin, F., Cahn, N., Haynes, D. F. & Valji, N. (eds.): *The Oxford Handbook of Gender and Conflict*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Esuruku, R. S. (2011). Beyond Masculinity: Gender, Conflict and Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Northern Uganda. *Journal of Science & Sustainable Development* 4: pp. 25–40.
- Ezard, N. (2014). It's Not Just the Alcohol: Gender, Alcohol Use, and Intimate Partner Violence in Mae La Refugee Camp, Thailand, 2009. *Substance Use and Misuse* 49(6): pp. 684–693.
- Fanon, F. (1967). *Black Skin, White Masks*. New York: Grove Publishers.
- Féron, É. (2018). *Wartime Sexual Violence Against Men: Masculinities and Power in Conflict Zones*. London: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Fiske, L. & Giotis, C. (2021). Refugees, Gender and Disability: Examining Intersections Through Refugee Journeys. In: Mora, C. & Pipa, N. (eds.): *The Palgrave Handbook of Gender and Migration*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, Ch. 27, pp. 441–456.
- Franz, B. (2003). Bosnian Refugee Women in (Re)Settlement: Gender Relations and Social Mobility. *Feminist Review* 73: pp. 86–103.
- Friðriksdóttir, G. S. (2018a). Ex-Combatants as Social Activists: War, Peace and Ideology in Burundi. *Conflict, Security & Development* 18(1): pp. 1–16.
- Friðriksdóttir, G. S. (2018b). Soldiering as an Obstacle to Manhood? Masculinities and Ex-Combatants in Burundi. *Critical Military Studies* 7(1): pp. 61–78.
- Gibbs, A., et al. (2015). Reconstructing Masculinity? A Qualitative Evaluation of the Stepping Stones and Creating Futures Interventions in Urban Informal Settlements in South Africa. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 17(2): pp. 208–222.
- Gibbs, A., et al. (2020). Constructing, Reproducing and Challenging Masculinities in a Participatory Intervention in Urban Informal Settlements in South Africa. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 22(5): pp. 535–550.

- Gokani, R., Bogossian, A. & Akesson, B. (2015). Occupying Masculinities: Fathering in the Palestinian Territories. *NORMA: International Journal for Masculinity Studies* 10(4): pp. 203–218.
- Grabska, K. (2011). Constructing “Modern Gendered Civilised” Women and Men: Gender Mainstreaming in Refugee Camps. *Gender and Development* 19(1): pp. 81–93.
- Grabska, K. & Fanjoy, M. (2015). ‘And When I Become a Man’: Translocal Coping with Precariousness and Uncertainty Among Returnee Men in South Sudan. *Social Analysis* 59(1): pp. 76–95.
- Gressman, W. (2016). *From the Ground Up: Gender and Conflict Analysis in Yemen*. Oxford: Oxfam International.
- Hagen, J., Ritholtz, S. & Delatolla, A. (eds.) (2024). *Queer Conflict Research: New Approaches to the Study of Political Violence*. Bristol: Bristol University Press.
- Hamber, B. (2016). There Is a Crack in Everything: Problematising Masculinities, Peacebuilding and Transitional Justice. *Human Rights Review* 17(1): pp. 9–34.
- Harland, K. & McCreedy, S. (2015). *Boys, Young Men and Violence Masculinities, Education and Practice*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Haugbolle, S. (2012). The (Little) Militia Man: Memory and Militarized Masculinity in Lebanon. *Journal of Middle East Women’s Studies* 8: pp. 115–139.
- Hearn, J. (1998). *The Violences of Men*. London: Sage Publications.
- Henry, M. (2017). Problematising Military Masculinity, Intersectionality and Male Vulnerability in Feminist Critical Military Studies. *Critical Military Studies* 3(2): pp. 182–199.
- Higate, P. (2012). Drinking Vodka from the “Butt-Crack”: Men, Masculinities and Fratriarchy in the Private Militarized Security Company. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 14(4): pp. 450–469.
- Hollander, T. (2014). Men, Masculinities, and the Demise of a State: Examining Masculinities in the Context of Economic, Political, and Social Crisis in a Small Town in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Men and Masculinities* 17(4): pp. 417–439.
- Holloway, K., Stavropoulou, M. & Daigle, M. (2019). *Gender in Displacement – The State of Play*. London: ODI.
- Howe, K., Krystalli, R., Krishnan, V., Kurtz, J. & Macaranas, R. (2018). *The Wages of War: Learning from How Syrians Have Adapted Their Livelihoods Through Seven Years of Conflict*. Washington, DC: Mercy Corps.
- Ingvars, Å. (2019). The Social Butterfly: Hunted Subjectivity and Emergent Masculinities Among Refugees. *International Journal for Masculinity Studies* (14)4: pp. 239–254.
- Jaji, R. (2009). Masculinity on Unstable Ground: Young Refugee Men in Nairobi, Kenya. *Journal of Refugee Studies* 22(2): pp. 177–194.
- Jaji, R. (2021). ‘Aberrant’ Masculinity: Men, Culture and Forced Migration. In: Mora, C. & Piper, N. (eds.): *The Palgrave Handbook of Gender and Migration*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 373–386.
- Jensen, S. (2008). *Gangs, Politics, and Dignity in Cape Town*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Johnston, M. (2023). *Building Peace, Rebuilding Patriarchy: The Failure of Gender Interventions in Timor-Leste*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kabachnik, P., et al. (2012). Traumatic Masculinities: The Gendered Geographies of Georgian IDPs from Abkhazia. *Gender, Place & Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography* 6: pp. 773–793.
- Kizza, D., Knizek, B. L., Kinyanda, E. & Hjelmeland, H. (2012). Men in Despair: A Qualitative Psychological Autopsy Study of Suicide in Northern Uganda. *Transcultural Psychiatry* 49(50): pp. 696–717.
- Kleist, N. (2010). Negotiating Respectable Masculinity: Gender and Recognition in the Somali Diaspora. *African Diaspora* 3(2): pp. 185–206.
- Krause, J. (2019). Gender Dimensions of (Non)violence in Communal Conflict: The Case of Jos, Nigeria. *Comparative Political Studies* 52(10): pp. 1466–1499.
- Kreft, A. K. & Agerberg, M. (2024). Imperfect Victims? Civilian Men, Vulnerability, and Policy Preferences. *American Political Science Review* 118(1): pp. 274–290.
- Krystalli, R., Hawkins, A. & Wilson, K. (2018). ‘I Followed the Flood’: A Gendered Analysis of the Moral and Financial Economies of Forced Migration. *Disasters* 42: pp. 17–39.
- Kunz, R., Myrntinen, H. & Udasmoro, W. (2018). Preachers, Pirates and Peace-Building: Examining Non-Violent Hegemonic Masculinities in Aceh. *Asian Journal of Women’s Studies* 24(3): pp. 299–320.
- Lawn, D. S., Naujoks, J. & Myrntinen, H. (2022). “Gender, Disabilities, and Displacement in Kachin State,” In: Hedström, J. & Olivius, E. (eds.): *Waves of Upheaval in Myanmar – Gendered Transformations and Political Transitions*. Copenhagen: NIAS Press, pp. 221–241.
- Lehrer, K. (2009). *Gender Differences in Labour Market Participation During Conflict: Evidence from Displaced People’s Camps in Northern Uganda*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia.

- Leiby, M. (2009). Digging in the Archives: The Promise and Perils of Primary Documents. *Politics & Society* 37(1): pp. 75–100.
- Levine, S., Ullah, Z., Khan, S. U., Ahmed, M., Ghulam, S., Iqbal, R., et al. (2019). *The Impact of Displacement on Gender Roles and Relations: The Case of IDPs from FATA*. London: ODI.
- Lewis, C. (2014). Systemic Silencing: Addressing Sexual Violence against Men and Boys in Armed Conflict and Its Aftermath. In: Heathcote, G. & Otto, D. (eds.): *Rethinking Peacekeeping, Gender Equality and Collective Security*. New York: Springer, pp. 203–223.
- Lewis, C. (2022). The Making and Re-Making of the ‘Rape Capital of the World’: On Colonial Durabilities and the Politics of Sexual Violence Statistics in DRC. *Critical African Studies* (14)1: pp. 55–72.
- Lokot, M. (2018). ‘Blood Doesn’t Become Water?’ Syrian Social Relations During Displacement. *Journal of Refugee Studies* 32(1): pp. 162–163.
- Løvgren, R. & Turner, S. (2019). “Winning Life” and the Discipline of Death at Iwawa Island. *Ethnos* 84(1): pp. 27–40.
- Lundy, B. D., Downs, J.T. & Reinke, A. (2022). “Indigenous peacemaking and restorative justice.” In: Senehi, J., Scott, I.M., Byrne, S. & Matyók, T.G. (eds.): *Routledge Handbook of Peacebuilding and Ethnic Conflict*, London and New York: Routledge: pp. 107–117.
- Mackenzie, M. & Foster, A. (2017). Masculinity Nostalgia: How War and Occupation Inspire a Yearning for Gender Order. *Security Dialogue* 48(3): pp. 206–223.
- Mäki-Rahkola, A. & Myrntinen, H. (2013). Reliable Professionals, Sensitive Dads and Tough Fighters. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* (16)3: pp. 470–489.
- Matsuoka, A. & Sorensen, J. (1999). Eritrean Canadian Refugee Households as Sites of Gender Renegotiation. In: Indra, D. (ed.): *Engendering Forced Migration: Theory and Practice*. New York: Berghahn, pp. 218–241.
- McMullin, J. R., McCrowsey, D. K. K. & Shilue, J. S. (2024). Good Ones And Bad Ones: Gendered Distortions and Aspirations in Research with Conflict-Affected Youth in Liberia. *Peacebuilding*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21647259.2024.2385210>
- Menzel, A. (2011). Between Ex-Combatantization and Opportunities for Peace: The Double-EDGED qualities of Motorcycle-Taxi Driving in Urban Postwar Sierra Leone. *Africa Today* 58(2): pp. 97–127.
- Moran, M. H. (2010). Gender, Militarism, and Peace-Building: Projects of the Postconflict Moment. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 39(1): pp. 261–274.
- Morrell, R. (ed.) (2001). *Changing Men in Southern Africa*. London: Zed Books Ltd.
- Muhanna-Matar, A. (2020). Beyond the Binary Understanding of Masculinities: Displaced Syrian Refugee Men Living with Disability and Chronic Illness in Jordan. Center for Interational and Regional Studies, Georgetown University Qatar.
- Mynster Christensen, M. (2016). The Underbelly of Global Security: Sierra Leonean Ex-Militias in Iraq. *African Affairs* 115(458): pp. 23–43.
- Myrntinen, H. (2019). Stabilizing or Challenging Patriarchy? Sketches of Selected “New” Political Masculinities. *Men and Masculinities* 22(3): pp. 563–581.
- Myrntinen, H., Khattab, L. & Naujoks, J. (2017). Re-Thinking Hegemonic Masculinities in Conflict-Affected Contexts. *Critical Military Studies* 3(2): pp. 103–119.
- Myrntinen, H., Naujoks, J. & El-Bushra, J. (2014). *Re-Thinking Gender in Peacebuilding*. London: International Alert.
- Naujoks, J. & Thandar Ko, M. (2018). *Behind the Masks: Masculinities, Gender, Peace and Security in Myanmar*. London: International Alert.
- Niner, S. (2020). Veterans and Heroes: The Militarised Male Elite in Timor-Leste. *The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology* 21(2): pp. 117–139.
- Olivius, E. (2016). Refugee Men as Perpetrators, Allies or Troublemakers?: Emerging Discourses on Men and Masculinities in Humanitarian aid. *Women’s Studies: International Forum* 56: pp. 56–65.
- Parashar, S. (2018). Competing Masculinities, Militarization and the Conflict in Kashmir. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 20(4): pp. 663–665.
- Parpart, J. (2015). Militarized Masculinities, Heroes and Gender Inequality During and after the Nationalist Struggle in Zimbabwe. *NORMA* 10(3–4): pp. 312–325.
- Peacock, D. & Barker, G. (2014). Working with Men and Boys to Prevent Gender-based Violence Principles, Lessons Learned, and Ways Forward. *Men and Masculinities* 17(5): pp. 578–599.

- Pierotti, R. S., Lake, M. & Lewis, C. (2018). Equality on His Terms: Doing and Undoing Gender through Men's Discussion Groups. *Gender & Society* 32(4): pp. 540–562.
- Porter, A. (2013). "What Is Constructed Can Be Transformed": Masculinities in Post-Conflict Societies in Africa. *International Peacekeeping* 20(4): pp. 486–506.
- Puechguirbal, N. (2010). Discourses on Gender, Patriarchy, and Resolution 1325: A Textual Analysis of UN Documents. *International Peacekeeping* 17(2): pp. 172–187.
- Quest, H. (2020). Reforming Masculinity? The SSR-Induced Change of Violence-Centred Masculinities in the Liberian Security Sector. *Femina Politica - Zeitschrift für feministische Politikwissenschaft* 29(1): pp. 39–54.
- Rashid, M. (2021). Precarious Attachments: Soldiers and Erasures of the Feminine in the Pakistan Military. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 24(4): pp. 544–563.
- Ratele, K. (2013). Masculinities Without Tradition. *South African Journal of Political Studies* 40(1): pp. 133–156.
- Ratele, K. (2015). Working Through Resistance in Engaging Boys and Men Towards Gender Equality and Progressive Masculinities. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 17(2): pp. 144–158.
- Ratele, K. (2021). An Invitation to Decoloniality in Work on (African) Men and Masculinities. *Gender, Place & Culture* 28(6): pp. 769–785.
- Rigual, C., Udasmoro, W. & Onyesoh, J. (2022). Gendered Forms of Authority and Solidarity in the Management of Ethno-Religious Conflicts. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 24(3): pp. 368–394.
- Riley, H. (2019). Male Collective Identity in the People's Liberation Army of Nepal. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 21(4): pp. 544–565.
- Salo, E. (2006). Mans Is Ma Soe – Ganging Practices in Manenberg, South Africa, and the Ideologies of Masculinity, Gender and Generational Relations. In: Bay, E. & Donham, D. (eds.): *States of Violence – Politics, Youth, and Memory in Contemporary Africa*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press.
- Schroer-Hippel, M. (2017). *Gewaltfreie Männlichkeitsideale: Psychologische Perspektiven auf zivilgesellschaftliche Friedensarbeit*. Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Schulz, P. (2018). Displacement from Gendered Personhood: Sexual Violence and Masculinities in Northern Uganda. *International Affairs* 94(5): pp. 1101–1119.
- Schulz, P. (2019). 'To Me, Justice Means to Be in a Group': Survivors' Groups as a Pathway to Justice in Northern Uganda. *Journal of Human Rights Practice* 11(1): pp. 171–189.
- Schulz, P. (2020). *Male Survivors of Wartime Sexual Violence Perspectives from Northern Uganda*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Schulz, P., Hamber, B. & Touquet, H. (2024). *Masculinities and Queer Perspectives in Transitional Justice*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Schulz, P. & Ngomokwe, F. (2021). Resilience, Adaptive Peacebuilding and Transitional Justice in Post-Conflict Uganda: The Participatory Potential of Survivors' Groups. In: Clark, N. & Ungar, M. (eds.): *Resilience, Adaptive Peacebuilding and Transitional Justice: How Societies Recover After Collective Violence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Serrano-Amaya, F. J. (2024). Queering the Politics of Knowledge in Conflict Research. In: Hagen, J., Ritholtz, S. & Delatolla, A. (eds.): *Queer Conflict Research New Approaches to the Study of Political Violence*, Ch. 2, pp. 41–60. Bristol: Bristol University Press.
- Sherry, M. (2016). Disabled Masculinities and Disasters. In: Enarson, E. & Pease, B. (eds.): *Men, Masculinities and Disaster*. London/New York: Routledge, Ch. 10, pp. 117–127.
- Shuttleworth, R., Wedgwood, N. & Wilson, J. (2012). The Dilemma of Disabled Masculinity. *Men and Masculinities* 15(2): pp. 174–194.
- Sinha, M. (1995). *Colonial Masculinity: The 'Manly Englishman' and the 'Effeminate Bengali' in the Late Nineteenth Century*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Sivakumaran, S. (2007). Sexual Violence Against Men in Armed Conflict. *European Journal of International Law* 18(2): pp. 253–276.
- Slegh, H., Barker, G. & Levto, R. (2014). *Gender Relations, Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and the Effects of Conflict on Women and Men in North Kivu, Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo*. Results from the International Men and Gender Equality Survey (IMAGES). Washington, DC and Cape Town: Promundo-US and Sonke Gender Justice.
- Suerbaum, M. (2018). Defining the Other to Masculinize Oneself: Syrian Men's Negotiations of Masculinity during Displacement in Egypt. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 43(3): p. 665.

- Suerbaum, M. (2021). Constructions of Masculinities, Class and Refugee Status Among Syrian Refugee Men in Egypt. In Mora, C. & Piper, N. (eds.): *The Palgrave Handbook of Gender and Migration*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, Ch. 24, pp. 387–403.
- Szczepanikova, A. (2005). Gender Relations in a Refugee Camp: A Case of Chechens Seeking Asylum in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Refugees Studies* 18(3): pp. 281–298.
- Tapscott, R. (2018). Policing Men: Militarised Masculinity, Youth Livelihoods, and Security in Conflict-Affected Northern Uganda. *Disasters* 42(1): pp. 119–139.
- Te Maihāroa, K., Lialiga, M. & Devere, H. (2022). *Decolonising Peace and Conflict Studies Through Indigenous Research*. New York: Springer Nature.
- Theidon, K. (2009). Reconstructing Masculinities: The Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration of Former Combatants in Colombia. *Human Rights Quarterly* 31(1): pp. 1–34.
- Thylin, T. (2018). Leaving War and the Closet? Exploring the Varied Experiences of LGBT Ex-Combatants in Colombia. *Women, Gender and Research* 2–3: pp. 97–109.
- Touquet, H., Chynoweth, S., Martin, S., Reis, C., Myrntinen, H., Schulz, P., Turner, L. & Duriesmith, D. (2021). From “It Rarely Happens” to “It’s Worse for Men”: Dispelling Misconceptions about Sexual Violence against Men and Boys in Conflict and Displacement. *Journal of Humanitarian Affairs* 3(1): pp. 25–34.
- Touquet, H. & Schulz, P. (2020). Navigating Vulnerabilities and Masculinities: How Gendered Contexts Shape the Agency of Male Sexual Violence Survivors. *Security Dialogue* 52(3): pp. 213–230.
- Turner, L. (2020). Syrian Refugee Men in Za’tari Camp: Humanitarianism, Masculinities, and ‘Vulnerabilities’. *Civil Society Review* 1(4): pp. 48–59.
- Turner, S. (1999). *Angry Young Men in Camps: Gender, Age and Class Relations Among Burundian Refugees in Tanzania*. UNHCR Geneva. <http://www.alnap.org/resource/12098>
- Vess, J., et al. (2013). *The Other Side of Gender: Men as Critical Agents of Change*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.
- Vigh, H. (2006). *Navigating Terrains of War – Youth and Soldiering in Guinea-Bissau*. Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Vitale, A. & Ryde, J. (2016). Promoting Male Refugees’ Mental Health After They Have Been Granted Leave to Remain (Refugee Status). *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion* 18(2): pp. 106–125.
- Ward, M. (2000). Fighting for Ples in the City: Young Highlands Men in Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea. In: Dinnen, S. & Ley, A. (eds.): *Reflections on Violence in Melanesia*. Canberra: Hawkins Press.
- Welland, J. (2015). Liberal Warriors and the Violent Colonial Logics of “Partnering and Adivsing”. *International Journal of Feminist Politics* (17)2: pp. 289–307.
- Whitworth, S. (2004). *Men, Militarism and Peacekeeping*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Wojnicka, K. & Pustulka, P. (2019). Research on Men, Masculinities and Migration: Past, Present and Future. *International Journal for Masculinity Studies* 14(2): pp. 91–95.
- Wright, H. (2014). *Masculinities, Conflict and Peacebuilding: Perspectives on Men Through a Gender Lens*. London: Saferworld.
- Wright, H. (2020). “Masculinities Perspectives”: Advancing a Radical Women, Peace and Security Agenda? *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 22(5): pp. 652–674.
- Xaba, T. (2001). Masculinity and Its Malcontents – The Confrontation between “Struggle Masculinity” and “Post-Struggle Masculinity” (1991–1997). In: Morrell, R. (ed.): *Changing Men in Southern Africa*. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.
- Yousaf, F. (2021). Women, Patriarchy, and Traditional Methods: A Postcolonial Feminist Critique of Pashtun Jirga. In: Väyrynen, T., Parashar, S., Féron, É. & Confortini, C. (eds.): *Routledge Handbook of Feminist Peace Research*. London: Routledge, pp. 89–98.
- Zalewski, M., Drumond, P., Prügl, E. & Stern, M. (2018). *Sexual Violence Against Men in Global Politics*. London: Routledge.